

The role of "cognition" in overcoming socio-anthropological risks

T.I. Eroshenko

It is analyzed the problem of unreasonable use by people of their most important and highest ability to cognize the surrounding world (worlds) and themselves. Having accumulated volumes of knowledge that are either capable of solving many of the problems of earthlings today, or suggest their solution, humanity, however, quite noticeably balances between the possibility of further development and the likelihood of tragic death, slow or relatively fast. The author sees one of the reasons for this in the historically established division of people in the process of realization of cognition and the use of its products (knowledge) in a private, isolated manner. The article proposes to expand the concept of "cognition". Cognition (the concept of cognitive sciences) is not only and not so much an individual — personal private process of cognition, but a universal process (planetary, cosmic), the subject of which is the whole of humanity from the moment of its appearance. All-planetary cognition gives rise to all-planetary, cosmic consciousness.

Keywords: *subject of cognition, private cognitions, social cognitions, civilizational cognitions, planetary cognition, cognitive synergy, tendency cognition - consciousness, cosmic cognition, cosmic consciousness*

References

1. Аршинов В.И. и др. Сложность. Разум. Постнеклассика. 2019. № 2. С. 51-60.
2. Лепский В.Е., Задорожнюк И.Е., Пирожкова С.В. Вектор поиска - кибернетика третьего порядка (Обзор X1 Международного междисциплинарного научно-практического симпозиума «Рефлексивные процессы и управление» 16-17 октября 2017 г.) // Вопросы философии. 2018. № 6. С. 208-211.
3. Максимов Л.В. Знание и ценности в структуре гуманитарных дисциплин // Философия и культура. 2016. № 11. С. 1498-1505.
4. Назаретян А.П. Вызовы и перспективы цивилизации: станет ли эволюция на Земле космически значимой // Вопросы философии. 2018. № 6.
5. Николаева Е.В. Концепция фрактальности в постнеклассической философии культуры // Философия и культура. 2016. № 1. С. 142-149.
6. Borisenkov A.A. New Research Paradigm in Political Science // SENTENTIA. European Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences. 2013. № 2. С. 43-52.
7. Bryson J.J. Patience is not a virtue: the design of intelligent systems and systems of ethics // Ethics and Information Technology. 2018. No. 20. Pp. 1526.
8. Dignum V. Ethics in artificial intelligence: introduction to the special issue // Ethics and Information Technology. 2018. No. 20. Pp. 1-3.
9. Hamidi N., River B., Balogova A. Ethical use of information technology // Procedia-social and behavioral Sciences. February, 2014.
10. Vamplew P., Dazeley R., Foale C., Firmin S., Mummery J. Human-aligned artificial intelligence is a multiobjective problem // Ethics and Information Technology. 2018. No. 20. Pp. 27-40.