

Naturalistic theories of cognition: current research directions

K. Patapenya

The article systematizes the key areas of naturalistic theories of cognition, which were developed in the second half of the XX – beginning of the XXI century. The main lines of theoretical opposition are identified, and the author's hypothesis of "sensorimotor resonance internalization" is proposed to bridge the gap between autopoiesis and linguistic normativity. It is concluded that naturalistic epistemology is a pluralistic field of research, but the key unsolved problem remains explaining how bodily social coordination turns into an abstract logical rule.

Keywords: *naturalized epistemology, WVO Quine, evolutionary epistemology, enactivism, autopoiesis, the problem of normativity, social epistemology, veritism*

References

1. Голдман А.И. Социальная эпистемология / Пер. с англ. // Эпистемология и философия науки. 2011. Т. 27. № 1. С. 5–18.
2. Головкин Н.В. Натурализация эпистемологии: Т. Кун и У.В.О. Куайн против априоризма // Гуманитарные науки в Сибири. 2007. № 1. С. 34–38.
3. Гусев Д.А. Натуралистические концепции познания в современной философии // Вестник Московского университета. Серия 7: Философия. 2022. № 3. С. 45–58.
4. Исаханова А.К. Эволюционная эпистемология: современные дискуссии и тенденции. М.: Библио-Глобус, 2018. 210 с.
5. Куайн У.В.О. С точки зрения логики. Томск: Изд-во Томского университета, 2003. 272 с.
6. Кэмпбелл Д.Т. Эволюционная эпистемология // Эволюционная эпистемология и логика социальных наук: Карл Поппер и его критики. М.: Эдиториал УРСС, 2000. С. 57–106.
7. Поппер К.Р. Логика и рост научного знания. М.: Прогресс, 1983. 605 с.
8. Clark A. Being There: Putting Brain, Body, and World Together Again. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1997. 312 p.
9. Di Paolo E., Cuffari E., De Jaegher H. Linguistic Bodies: The Constitution of Continuity. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2018. 416 p.
10. Goldman A.I. Knowledge in a Social World. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1999. 416 p.
11. Hutto D.D., Myin E. Radical Enactivism: Basic Minds without Content. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 2013. 254 p.
12. Kim J. What Is 'Naturalized Epistemology'? // Philosophical Perspectives. 1988. Vol. 2. P. 381–405.
13. Li Q. Quine's Naturalized Epistemology, Epistemic Normativity and the Gettier Problem // Philosophical Studies. 2018. Vol. 23. No. 4. P. 32–45.
14. Maturana H., Varela F. Autopoiesis and Cognition: The Realization of the Living. Dordrecht: D. Reidel, 1980. 141 p.
15. Maturana H., Varela F. The Tree of Knowledge: The Biological Roots of Human Understanding. Boston: Shambhala, 1987. 272 p.
16. Miłkowski M. Making Naturalised Epistemology (Slightly) Normative // Studies in Logic, Grammar and Rhetoric. 2022. Vol. 67. No. 1. P. 219–246.
17. Noë A. Action in Perception. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2004. 288 p.
18. Quine W.V.O. Epistemology Naturalized // Ontological Relativity and Other Essays. New York:

Columbia University Press, 1969. P. 69–90.

19. Steiner P. The Problem of Normativity in Enactivism // Phenomenology and the Cognitive Sciences. 2021. Vol. 20. No. 3. P. 491–512.
20. Thompson E. Mind in Life: Biology, Phenomenology, and the Sciences of Mind. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2007. 560 p.
21. Varela F., Thompson E., Rosch E. The Embodied Mind: Cognitive Science and Human Experience. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1991. 328 p.